The Crisis of Borrowed Certainty:

FAITH IN THE AGE OF THE ALGORITHM

Hussein Dharsi

REFLECTION 02-DECEMBER 2025
TORONTO, CANADA

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Hussein Dharsi is a technology executive, polyglot, and Qurʾānic commentator based in Ontario, Canada. Fluent in Modern Standard Arabic, he lectures internationally on Qurʾānic studies and Shīʿī thought. He is the creator of the *Reflection* podcast series, *Exploring the Wisdom of al-Mīzān*, and holds an MBA from the Rotman School of Management at the University of Toronto.

Certainty is born only when the intellect adheres to evidence and refuses the comfort of assumption.

—ʿAllāmah Ṭabāṭabāʾī, ***al-Mīzān***

Abstract

The contemporary Muslim imagination is undergoing a profound epistemic dislocation. In an age where Instagram reels, TikTok clips, and algorithmically curated micro-sermons now function as primary touchpoints of religious exposure, a new form of conviction has emerged: borrowed certainty. This certainty is consumed rather than cultivated, absorbed rather than earned. It is generated by repetition, emotional resonance, and digital aesthetics rather than by verification, reasoning, or theological rigor.

For the Shīʿī tradition, a tradition that grounds certainty in taḥqīq (verification), tadabbur (reflection), and epistemic humility, this shift carries serious implications. The Qur'an distinguishes sharply between knowledge and assumption; the Imams (a) trained their followers to authenticate, to question, and to withhold judgment until evidence had been established. From Imām al-Bāqir's (a) insistence that every claim be measured against the Book of God to Ṭabāṭabāʾī's emphasis on coherence and disciplined inquiry, the Shīʿī intellectual heritage frames certainty as the end of a process, not the beginning of one.

Yet digital platforms reverse this order. They deliver the feeling of certainty without the labour of understanding. They amplify confidence over competence, impressions over insights, and charismatic delivery over methodological integrity. The result is a cognitive environment in which believers internalise conclusions without encountering the processes that justify them: a generation that feels certain yet cannot account for its certainty.

This Issue examines that transformation. It interrogates the psychological mechanisms that make digital content persuasive, the collapse of traditional authorities in the age of the algorithm, and the rise of influencer-driven religiosity that substitutes speed for scholarship. It investigates how diaspora Shīʿī communities, particularly within the Khoja context, navigate competing epistemic models while lacking institutions capable of mediating between tradition and digital modernity.

Rather than advocating withdrawal from technology, this study argues for a recovery of the intellectual virtues that the digital age erodes: patience, verification, contextual reading, and the willingness to suspend judgment. If the Shīʿī tradition is to remain intellectually vibrant, it must cultivate communities capable of resisting the seductions of instant certainty and embracing the disciplined pursuit of truth.

Conviction Without Understanding

Modern Muslim conviction is increasingly shaped by an informational environment that fragments attention and accelerates judgment. Short-form religious content such as Instagram reels, TikTok latmīyah clips, YouTube shorts, voice-overed Qur'anic passages, and stylised edits of Majlis excerpts generates powerful emotional resonance within seconds. These fragments often feel profound, yet they rarely provide the conceptual scaffolding needed for genuine understanding. A believer may encounter thirty religious impressions in an evening, and from these impressions assemble what appears to be certainty.

But exposure is not understanding, and impression is not evidence.

A teenager I spoke to recently described his religious journey as "scrolling until something feels right." He could reference dozens of quotes, had memorized punchlines from reciters, and could emotionally identify with the tragedy of Karbala, but he struggled to explain where any of it came from, how it fit together, or why one clip contradicted another. His certainty was strong; his foundations were fragile. Not because he was indifferent, but because he was formed by fragments.

The Qurʾān repeatedly distinguishes between ʿilm (knowledge) and ẓann (assumption):

> *"They follow nothing but conjecture, and conjecture can never substitute for truth" (53:28).*[1]

The distinction is not semantic. It is epistemological and moral. Speaking with confidence where one possesses only conjecture is a spiritual failure. Imām ʿAlī (a) warns:

> *"He who speaks without knowledge prepares his own humiliation."*[2]

And Imām al-Ṣādiq (a) commands his followers:

> *to measure every claim against the Book of God, accepting only that which withstands scrutiny.*[3]

These teachings assume a slow mind, a mind formed by deliberation, comparison, reflection, and verification. But digital platforms form a very different kind of mind.

Psychologists describe this as cognitive acceleration, a condition in which the brain becomes habituated to speed, novelty, and superficial coherence.[4] Daniel Kahneman's distinction between "fast thinking" and "slow thinking" helps illuminate this shift: fast cognition sacrifices accuracy for immediacy. Modern platforms intensify this tendency. A believer feels informed because the content is emotionally polished; they feel certain because the clip is confidently delivered; they feel spiritually nourished because the soundscape is moving.[5]

This is the emergence of borrowed certainty, certainty that has been absorbed rather than earned.

Borrowed certainty thrives because it mimics the appearance of understanding. It delivers conclusions without revealing the reasoning that produces them, bypassing the disciplines that make piety intelligent. In Shīʿī theology, this is particularly dangerous. The Imams did not cultivate passive followers who simply inherited conclusions; they cultivated reflective believers anchored in principle, evidence, and method.

Today's environment reverses that ethos. Digital platforms reward speed over accuracy, confidence over competence, and emotional resonance over methodological integrity. The result is a generation that defends certainty without the intellectual processes that justify it. Religious literacy becomes shallow, vulnerable to manipulation, and constantly swayed by the aesthetics of digital religion. TikTok preachers, charismatic

reciters, AI-generated explanations, and algorithmic "Islamic inspiration" often exert more influence over conviction than carefully reasoned scholarship.

This is not a technological crisis. It is a formation crisis.

The mind shaped by fragments cannot build structures. The believer trained by impressions cannot evaluate claims. And the community accustomed to borrowed certainty will, over time, lose the ability to distinguish between truth and its digital imitations.

When the Algorithm Becomes the Teacher: The Displacement of the Pulpit

For centuries, the Shīʿī pulpit shaped the architecture of communal understanding. It determined the pace at which concepts were introduced, the sequence in which history, law, and theology were taught, and the interpretive frameworks through which believers learned to reason. Knowledge flowed through structured hierarchies: scholar to student, teacher to listener, text to reader. The pulpit was not merely a platform, it was an epistemic institution.

That era has ended.

Believers today receive more religious impressions on Instagram in a single evening than through a month's worth of sermons. Short-form videos, edited clips of latmīyah, animated Qurʾānic recitations, motivational montages layered over tragedy, and AI-generated explanations now shape the emotional and cognitive diet of the average Muslim. The pulpit continues to speak, but it no longer controls the environment in which meaning is formed.

The algorithm has become the new teacher.

In one majlis, a speaker spent forty minutes carefully constructing an argument about divine justice. The next morning, what circulated online was a ten-second clip of him weeping. The algorithm preserved emotion and erased reasoning, preserved spectacle and erased structure. For thousands who encountered the clip, that was the sermon. The aesthetic replaced the argument.

And unlike the traditional scholar, the algorithm possesses no concept of truth, depth, or responsibility. It amplifies whatever generates engagement, whether emotion, outrage, beauty, aesthetics, or charisma, regardless of whether the content is accurate, nuanced, or even Islamic. The logic of the feed has displaced the logic of learning. Instead of method, there is repetition; instead of verification, there is virality; instead of coherence, there is constant emotional stimulation.[6]

This shift exposes an uncomfortable reality: much of the hawzah continues to operate as though the world has not changed. Many preachers rely on inherited tones, familiar narratives, and rhetorical patterns suited for a slower age. Their sincerity is evident; their efficacy is weakening. They speak in conceptual languages not calibrated for minds shaped by algorithms and attention fragmentation. The problem is not their intentions. It is the cognitive mismatch between traditional pedagogy and digital-age formation.

The consequences are profound.

A vacuum emerges, a space where believers are spiritually hungry but intellectually unanchored. Into this vacuum step new religious actors who possess editing skills, aesthetic sensitivity, and emotional fluency, but little grounding in the Shīʿī tradition. They command extraordinary influence not because they are authoritative, but because they are ubiquitous. They appear confident, relatable, polished. They speak in the rhythms of the age. The algorithm rewards them accordingly.

This creates a new epistemic landscape in which charisma becomes a proxy for knowledge, and visibility becomes mistaken for authority. The community absorbs content that feels Islamic without the safeguards that make content reliably Islamic.

In many diaspora contexts, especially within communities like the Khoja Jamaats, this transition is even more destabilising. These communities once relied almost exclusively on the pulpit for theological orientation. Their institutions were never designed to compete with global digital ecosystems, algorithmic exposure, or influencer-led religious discourse. When institutional voices remain slow, repetitive, or disconnected from contemporary realities, the gap widens. The pulpit still speaks, but its influence shrinks.

What emerges is a new hierarchy of authority, one not built on ʿilm, ijazah, or interpretive tradition, but on frequency of appearance, emotional resonance, aesthetic presentation, algorithmic favour, and performative confidence.

This is not merely a technological shift. It is an epistemological rupture.

The structures that once formed Shīʿī identity and protected doctrinal integrity now compete with a globalised digital marketplace indifferent to accuracy or coherence.

The tradition has faced intellectual challenges before, from Greek metaphysics to colonial modernity, but those challenges unfolded over decades, even centuries. The digital challenge unfolds by the hour. And it is inside this environment that believers now attempt to develop certainty, evaluate claims, and form religious conviction.

If the Shīʿī tradition is to remain intellectually alive, scholars and institutions must recognise that authority is no longer inherited by position. It must be re-earned through relevance, fluency, and the ability to speak meaningfully in the cognitive language of the age. The Imams (a) did not repeat formulas; they adapted with intellectual confidence to the conceptual frameworks of their time. Anything less today will simply cede the future to the algorithm.

The Influencer Ecosystem and the Psychology of Viral Spirituality

The rise of digital religious influencers marks a profound shift in the ecology of Muslim conviction. What once required disciplined study, long-term exposure to scholars, and engagement with tradition is now shaped by aesthetic performance, micro-content, and algorithmic amplification. The digital environment does not merely circulate religious messages; it constructs a new epistemic hierarchy in which influence replaces scholarship and emotional resonance replaces methodological integrity.

Within this ecosystem, several archetypes have emerged. These figures are not inherently malicious, nor are they always inaccurate. Their impact lies in how they reshape expectations of what religious knowledge is and how it should be consumed.

1. The Motivational Spiritual Coach: This figure offers emotional uplift packaged as spiritual insight. Their content blends Qurʾānic verses, poetic language, and therapeutic affirmations about healing, patience, and destiny. The aesthetic is soothing and cinematic. The goal is resonance, not reasoning. Followers feel spiritually nourished without engaging doctrine, law, or the intellectual weight of the tradition.

2. The Viral Latmīyah / Nauḥa / Marsiya Reciter: Shīʿī devotional forms such as latmīyah, nauḥa, and marsiya occupy a sacred place in communal memory and identity. But in the digital age, these forms are often re-edited for virality, clipped, stylised, and amplified for maximum emotional impact. Reciters become highly visible through algorithmic circulation, sometimes becoming de facto representatives of Shīʿī identity for younger audiences. The concern is not the devotional art itself, but the disconnection from the broader theological and historical framework in which it traditionally sits. The clip produces feeling, but without context, feeling risks becoming the substitute for understanding.[7]

3. The TikTok Faqīh: This archetype delivers rapid-fire rulings or "Islamic facts" in short bursts of content. Complex jurisprudential principles are compressed into simplistic statements, often divorced from conditions,

context, or scholarly nuance. Authority is performed rather than established. Confidence substitutes for competence.

4. The Lifestyle Muslim: Here, Islam becomes an aesthetic. Spirituality merges with curated self-presentation through fashion, travel, marriage advice, interior design, and aspirational living. Virtue is displayed visually. Religion becomes a brand rather than an intellectual tradition.

5. The Reactionary Commentator: This figure thrives on critique, responding to controversies, policing morality, or condemning deviations. The tone is adversarial and emotionally charged, designed for algorithmic engagement. Their certainty is contagious; their substance is often minimal.

A young professional told me that she listens to three different Muslim influencers every day, one inspirational, one political, one jurisprudential, and she loves all three because they "speak with confidence." Yet when asked why their messages contradict one another, she replied: "I don't know, I just take whatever helps in the moment." This is not ignorance. It is epistemic compartmentalization, certainty without coherence.

The Psychology Behind Viral Spirituality

These archetypes succeed because digital cognition encourages several predictable psychological mechanisms:

Affective substitution, in which emotion is mistaken for insight. Illusion of consensus, in which repetition creates the impression that "everyone agrees." Cognitive overconfidence, in which short content produces the feeling of expertise without any method. Internal contradiction, in which contradictory ideas are absorbed unconsciously because there is no framework to reconcile them. Epistemic fatigue, in which constant stimuli dull reflective capacity.[8]

From a Shīʿī perspective, these mechanisms challenge the intellectual ethic of the Imams (a), who emphasised verification, contextualisation, and disciplined reasoning. The digital environment inverts this ethic by elevating the emotional over the evidential, the immediate over the reflective, the aesthetic over the analytical, and the confident over the qualified.

Diaspora communities such as the Khoja Jamaats are particularly vulnerable, having relied on narrow institutional structures that were not designed to filter globalised digital Islam. Young believers now internalise fragments from divergent traditions without the interpretive tools needed to integrate them.

The result is spiritual turbulence: identities constructed from fragments, contradictions absorbed subconsciously, and certainty grounded more in repetition than in understanding.

Understanding this ecosystem is essential, for it is within this digital environment, not the hawza and not the majlis, that the next generation of Shīʿī conviction is being formed.

The Collapse of Authority and the Cognitive Unravelling of the Modern Believer

The digital age has not merely challenged traditional religious authority; it has redistributed it. What once resided within structured hierarchies of scholarship, transmission, and interpretive discipline is now scattered across a vast and unregulated landscape. In this new environment, authority is not bestowed by learning, character, or training; it is assigned by algorithms, aesthetics, and social engagement.

The collapse is not institutional. It is cognitive.

Believers now navigate religious life through a fluid and contradictory mix of sources: a sermon excerpt, a latmīyah clip, a motivational reel, an AI-generated hadith summary, a WhatsApp forward, a comment thread, a scholarly lecture from Qom or Najaf, and a polemical TikTok clip. Each fragment carries emotional weight

but little contextual grounding. Psychologists describe this pattern as narrative fragmentation, in which the mind accumulates impressions but struggles to form coherent structures.[9] The believer gathers pieces but lacks architecture. The result is epistemic drift, a form of certainty that feels strong but rests on unstable foundations.

In previous generations, Shīʿī identity was shaped by institutions such as the hawzah, the pulpit, the majlis, and the rhythms of the lunar calendar. These did not merely transmit knowledge; they transmitted method. They trained believers to evaluate claims, understand chains of narration, read history with nuance, and distinguish reliable from unreliable reports. Identity was anchored in both substance and process.

Today, that methodological coherence has been disrupted. The believer no longer encounters knowledge in a structured sequence. Instead, contradictory ideas appear side by side, every voice feels equally legitimate, emotional resonance becomes the measure of truth, the distinction between scholar and reciter and influencer and entertainer collapses, and the mind normalises inconsistency.

This collapse is particularly acute in diaspora contexts, especially within the Khoja world. Historically, these communities relied on a limited pool of preachers and institutional voices that provided coherence, continuity, and stability. But these structures were never designed to compete with global digital content, algorithmic feeds, or the aesthetic sophistication of modern media.

Today, a Khoja teenager encounters more religious impressions from global reciters and influencers in a single week than from their own local institutions in a month. The jamaat's rhythm competes with the algorithm's rhythm, and the algorithm is faster, louder, and omnipresent.

The result is identity turbulence. Young believers internalise elements of Indo-Persian devotional culture, Lebanese and Iraqi scholarly discourse, Sunni motivational content, Western therapeutic spirituality, and Shīʿī political narratives, often within the same evening. These fragments belong to different intellectual worlds, but without the interpretive tools needed to mediate them, they coexist in a state of subconscious contradiction.

This is not a moral failure. It is epistemic overload.

Meanwhile, the hawzah, for all its intellectual depth, remains largely disconnected from these transformations. Its curriculum moves at a pace incompatible with digital cognition; its conceptual language often feels distant to a generation raised on immediacy. The problem is not relevance of content but inaccessibility of form.

As traditional sources of authority lose cognitive dominance, a vacuum opens. And vacuums do not remain empty. Into this space step figures whose influence is grounded not in scholarship but in digital fluency. They speak in the idioms of the age, with emotional immediacy and aesthetic force. Their certainty is contagious; their presence ubiquitous. Their knowledge, however, is often shallow, contradictory, or detached from any interpretive tradition.[10]

The danger is not that believers become less emotional or less religious. The danger is that belief becomes emotionally intense but intellectually shallow, a spiritual identity built on feeling rather than understanding, performance rather than patience, conviction rather than truth.

This environment presents a profound spiritual challenge:

How does a believer maintain coherence when authority dissolves into fragments?

Shīʿī theology has always emphasised the moral weight of knowledge. The Imams (a) insisted that claims be tested, scrutinised, and measured. Certainty, in their vision, is the end of a disciplined journey, not the beginning. But digital culture reverses this logic. Certainty appears instantly, without method. The believer feels certain long before understanding.

This inversion quietly unravels the intellectual habits that once sustained the community.

Rebuilding authority in the digital age does not require nostalgia for the past. It requires a renewed commitment to the virtues that make authority meaningful: transparency, method, humility, and intellectual integrity. It requires scholars who can speak fluently across both classical and digital registers. And it requires communities willing to resist the seductions of speed.

Where authority is fragmented, the task is not to reclaim monopoly. It is to rebuild trustworthy pathways to truth. Without such pathways, Shīʿī belief risks being shaped less by knowledge and more by noise.

Practical Takeaways

The problem is urgent, but the response need not be complicated. Certainty is not built in a single act; it is shaped through habits that protect the mind from speed, noise, and impression. Small practices, repeated consistently, reshape conviction.

Five habits can anchor the believer in an age of fragmentation:

1. **Slow down before sharing:** When a clip provokes an immediate reaction, pause. Ask: *"Do I know this, or do I just feel it?"*
2. **Trace the source:** Before accepting a claim, ask: "*Who said this? Why do I trust them?*" Authority must be earned, not performed.
3. **Prefer long-form content:** A single well-delivered lecture or chapter provides more clarity than fifty fragments.
4. **Compare, don't accumulate:** Certainty emerges from evaluating competing claims, not stockpiling quotes.
5. **Ask one clarifying question:** Not to win an argument, but to train the mind. The Imams taught: *understanding begins with hesitation.*

These are not heroic acts. They are small acts of resistance that help the believer recover the slow, deliberate posture through which truth takes root.

Conclusion: Reclaiming the Discipline of Certainty

The crisis of our moment is not simply the proliferation of technology, nor even the rise of digital influencers. It is the quiet erosion of the intellectual virtues that once guarded the Shīʿī tradition from superficiality, manipulation, and false confidence. The digital ecosystem accelerates thought, fragments attention, and rewards conviction without process. In this environment, believers adopt conclusions without encountering the disciplines that justify them. Certainty becomes affective rather than evidential, borrowed certainty masquerading as knowledge.[11]

Yet the Shīʿī tradition has never treated certainty as a feeling. It has treated it as a moral achievement, the fruit of verification, reflection, and humility. The Qurʾān distinguishes sharply between knowledge and conjecture;[12] the Imams (a) emphasised that claims must be tested, contextualised, and measured against the Book of God. For them, certainty was not inherited. It was earned.

Today's digital culture reverses that moral order. It offers instant conviction, aesthetic coherence, and algorithmically curated impressions that bypass the slow formation of reasoned understanding. Influencers perform confidence without evidence. AI-generated explanations provide coherence without comprehension. The result is a generation that feels certain before it knows how to evaluate certainty.

The task before us is not to reject technology but to reframe our encounter with it.

Withdrawal is neither realistic nor desirable. What is required is the recovery of the virtues that make knowledge trustworthy: humility, which prevents confidence from outrunning evidence; verification, which protects the believer from manipulation; contextualisation, which guards meaning from fragmentation; and deliberation, which slows the mind enough for truth to take root.

These virtues cannot emerge accidentally. They require communities that form believers with intention, communities that value understanding over reaction, coherence over spectacle, and method over performance. They require scholars capable of speaking fluently across both classical and digital registers. And they require believers who recognise that the most countercultural act of faith today is the willingness to slow down.

Institutions must rethink the rhythms of formation, not merely the content of sermons. We do not need louder voices; we need slower ones, voices that teach believers how to think, not only what to feel.

To hesitate before we share, to examine before we accept, to question before we conclude, and to pursue understanding before we proclaim certainty is to restore depth in an age of speed.

Certainty without the journey becomes imitation; confidence without evidence becomes self-deception; piety without knowledge becomes sentimentality.

The Islamic tradition has endured every intellectual challenge it has faced, from Greek metaphysics to Orientalist critique, because it preserved the moral discipline of seeking truth. The digital age is no different. Its threats are real, but so are its possibilities. If the Shīʿī community can recover the intellectual virtues that have always anchored its strength, then the very technologies that now destabilise belief can become tools for deepening it.

The future of Shīʿī thought will belong to those who resist the seductions of instant knowledge and return to the demanding work of understanding. It is there, in the courage to think with patience, that genuine certainty begins again.[13]

Notes

[1] Qur'an 53:28
[2] *Nahj al-Balāghah*, Hikmah 82
[3] *al-Kāfī*, vol. 1, p. 69
[4] Jean M. Twenge, *iGen: Why Today's Super-Connected Kids Are Growing Up Less Rebel* (Atria, 2017)
[5] Daniel Kahneman, *Thinking, Fast and Slow* (Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2011)
[6] Nicholas Carr, *The Shallows: What the Internet Is Doing to Our Brains* (W. W. Norton, 2010)
[7] Kamran Aghaie, *The Martyrs of Karbala: Shi'i Symbols and Rituals in Modern Iran*, 2004
[8] Daniel Kahneman, *Thinking, Fast and Slow* (2011), sections on cognitive bias and affective substitution.
[9] Jean M. Twenge, *iGen*, sections on narrative fragmentation and impression-accumulation
[10] Heidi A. Campbell, *Digital Religion: Understanding Religious Practice in New Media Worlds*, 2013
[11] Nicholas Carr, *The Shallows* (2010), section on "affective certainty" and the illusion of understanding.
[12] Qur'an 53:28
[13] *al-Kāfī*, vol. 2, passages describing knowledge as a moral discipline and certainty as an earned state