

Sanctifying Power, Silencing Thought:

A KHOJA REFLECTION ON SHĪ'Ī INTELLECTUAL AND COMMUNAL DECLINE

Hussein Dharsi

REFLECTION 01-NOVEMBER 2025
TORONTO, CANADA

ABOUT THE AUTHOR



Hussein Dharsi is a technology executive, polyglot, and Qur'ānic commentator based in Ontario, Canada. Fluent in Modern Standard Arabic, he lectures internationally on Qur'ānic studies and Shī'ī thought. He is the creator of the *Reflection* podcast series, *Exploring the Wisdom of al-Mīzān*, and holds an MBA from the Rotman School of Management at the University of Toronto.

Reason is the final proof granted to humanity, and it is through reason that truth becomes binding.

— Naṣīr al-Dīn al-Ṭūsī, **Tajrīd al-I'tiqād**

Abstract

This reflective essay examines emerging patterns of intellectual stagnation and performative religiosity within segments of the contemporary Khoja Shī'ī diaspora, particularly in East Africa, Europe, and North America. While recognizing the community's historical achievements in philanthropy, institutional development, and global cohesion, the piece argues that these strengths have, at times, unintentionally contributed to diminishing spaces for critical reasoning, theological exploration, and independent moral conscience, all of which form core pillars of Shī'ī intellectual heritage. Drawing upon Qur'ānic epistemology, classical theological principles, and insights from leading Shī'ī scholars and thinkers, the essay contends that inherited religious identity, ritual participation, and institutional loyalty cannot replace conscious, reasoned faith. Rather than calling for rupture, it advocates for a renewed balance between devotional continuity and critical inquiry, proposing an internal culture shift that foregrounds reflective piety, plural scholarship, courageous leadership, and responsible ijtihād as pathways toward authentic communal and spiritual flourishing.

Introduction

There are moments in the life of every religious community when the structures built to protect faith begin, unintentionally, to suppress the very faculties that once animated it. Shī'ī Islam, historically grounded in protest, rational inquiry, and moral courage, now faces such a moment in several parts of its global diaspora, particularly among traditional mercantile communities such as the Khojas. This essay does not claim to speak for all Shī'a Muslims because their histories, geographies, and ritual cultures are far too diverse, but reflects on patterns increasingly observable within Indo-Khoja communal life in East Africa, Europe, and North America. These observations arise not from hostility, nor from cultural self-rejection, but from an intimate belonging coupled with concern. The pulse of Shī'ī thought, 'aql (intellect), ijtihād (critical reasoning), and moral conscience, appears to have weakened and risks being substituted by conformity, performance culture, and institutional dependency.

The Shī'ī tradition is rooted in resistance to unthinking allegiance. It emerged not as the theology of the powerful but as the conscience of those who recognized that divine authority could never be inherited by force nor legitimized by silence. From the earliest debates surrounding Ghādir Khumm to the tragedy of Karbalā', Shī'ism positioned itself against the sanctification of power without truth, and equally against the abandonment of truth in the pursuit of safety. Its intellectual heroes, from Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam to Shaykh al-Mufid

and from Naṣīr al-Dīn al-Ṭūsī to Mullā Ṣadrā, approached faith not as a museum of inherited convictions, but as a living epistemic project requiring interpretation, accountability, and courage. As ‘Allāmah al-Ḥillī argued,

“The intellect is the foundation of responsibility (taklīf), for without understanding there can be no obedience.”¹

Yet, in parallel to this luminous heritage, many contemporary Shī‘ī communities exhibit an increasing retreat from intellectual self-confidence, especially where religious identity has become tightly woven with communal belonging and institutional hierarchy. Among Khojas, whose migration experiences shaped insular but tightly bonded communal structures, religion evolved not only as faith, but as social architecture, identity-marker, and moral policing mechanism. While such cohesion created admirable systems of philanthropy, organizational competence, and global unity, it also created an ecosystem where dissent is socially expensive, intellectual independence is ambiguously received, and inherited ritual memory is often prioritized over interpretive discovery.

This is not an accusation. It is a diagnosis, and diagnosis, if motivated by fidelity rather than resentment, is itself a form of devotion.

Inherited Religion vs Realized Faith

Islamic revelation repeatedly repudiates passive inheritance as the foundation of belief:

“They say, ‘We follow what we found our forefathers upon.’ Even if their forefathers understood nothing and were not guided?” (Qur’ān 2:170)

The Qur’ān does not condemn tradition. It condemns unexamined tradition. Belief, in Qur’ānic language, is conscious assent (taṣdīq), not unthinking repetition. Shī‘ī theology institutionalized this principle more explicitly than any other school through its refusal to permit taqlīd in the uṣūl al-dīn.² One does not inherit conviction. One must arrive at it.

However, within many Khoja settings, ritual participation has become the primary currency of religious legitimacy: attendance, fundraising, volunteering, lamentation intensity, eloquent reciters, emotive sermons, or elaborate commemorative programs. While none of these are inherently problematic, and can in fact be spiritually transformative, they risk becoming metrics of piety rather than expressions of piety. Sociologically, identity performance replaces intellectual formation and community affirmation becomes more desirable than moral or spiritual interrogation.

As ‘Alī Sharī‘atī warned: “Religion becomes an heirloom when its meaning dies but its form survives.”³

The Evolution of the Ḥawzah and the Decline of Philosophical Confidence

Shī‘ī intellectual history was not merely juridical. It was profoundly philosophical, mystical, and dialectical. The engagement of Ibn Sīnā, Ṭūsī, and later Mullā Ṣadrā with metaphysics, epistemology, and ontology shaped a distinctly reason-affirming tradition. Mullā Ṣadrā’s al-Ḥikmah al-Muta‘āliyyah framed philosophy as a pathway to spiritual perfection, uniting reason, revelation, and mystical intuition.⁴ Naṣīr al-Dīn al-Ṭūsī, reconciling rational theology with Imāmī beliefs, argued that reason is not merely permitted but necessary for understanding divine justice (‘adl).⁵

Yet, among diaspora communities largely distant from the seminarian tradition, philosophy is often regarded with suspicion. A legacy once proud of debate gradually outsourced thought to specialists, transforming *ijtihād* into a professional guild rather than a community ethic. When reasoning becomes the monopoly of scholars and obedience becomes the duty of followers, the epistemic spirit of Shi‘ism diminishes even if its rituals flourish.

Muṭahharī lamented this in Man and Faith: “Responsibility without understanding is tyranny; obedience without awareness is slavery.”⁶

The implication is clear. When a community’s intellectual life atrophies, its spiritual authenticity becomes vulnerable to performance, sentiment, and institutional convenience.

The Role of the Pulpit: From Moral Conscience to Emotional Comfort

In classical Islamic societies, the *minbar* functioned not merely as an informational platform but as a forge of conscience. Today, in many Khoja settings, though not universally, the pulpit has subtly transitioned from a locus of moral awakening to a chamber of emotional reassurance. Preachers are often rewarded not for provoking thought but for aligning with anticipated emotional expectations. The success metric becomes the tears shed, the rhythmic recitations, or the intensity and frequency of *nārā-yi Ḥaydarī* and similar communal chants, rather than whether intellectual restlessness or ethical resolve has been awakened.

Sharī‘atī characterised this trend as “the religion of mourning without movement.”⁷

The pulpit is not culpable in isolation. It mirrors what communities reward. Audiences frequently prefer consolation over confrontation, identity reinforcement over self-critique, and inherited narratives over examined truth. Preachers must also navigate institutional sensitivities, communal expectations, and reputational vulnerability. The result is an ecosystem where safe sermons are perceived as more valuable than true sermons, where impact is confused with emotion, and where prophetic disruption is interpreted as division.

Here lies the sociological inversion. What was historically a mobilizing institution has, in many contexts, become a validating one, transforming communal slogans from calls to ethical witness into proofs of communal belonging.

True Shi‘ī pedagogy does not offer tranquility before truth. It demands truth before tranquility.

Fear, Respectability, and the Social Cost of Questioning

Khoja communal identity has long emphasized cultivated manners and communal etiquette, social cohesion, and reputational dignity. These traits were shaped by mercantile history, minority survival, and migration-era vulnerability. These qualities produced disciplined, philanthropic, and globally coordinated communities. However, when harmony becomes conflated with epistemic uniformity, dissent unintentionally acquires moral stigma. Questioning shifts from being a sign of intellectual engagement to a perceived threat to identity.

Sociologically, minority communities tend to prioritize cohesion because cohesion appears synonymous with security. In such environments, interpretive plurality is often perceived as destabilizing rather than enriching. Thus, epistemic caution is internalized not by coercion but through subtle forms of approval, silence, and signaling.

This produces three silent inhibitors:

1. **Social sanctions:** fear of appearing disloyal, arrogant, or ungrateful
2. **Identity anxiety:** fear that critique will be perceived as Westernization or secularization
3. **Institutional reverence:** fear that inquiry will be interpreted as disobedience or theological deviation

Such dynamics complicate the Qur'ānic imperative to reflect, reason, and discern. The Karbalā' paradigm demonstrates that ethical fidelity may require standing apart from communal consensus. The tragedy is not merely historical. It is epistemological, for truth can be solitary before it is celebrated.

A mature community must distinguish between critique that wounds identity and critique that purifies identity.

Leadership: Between Facilitation and Guardianship

Leadership in faith communities bears a dual mandate, to preserve inherited wisdom and to cultivate evolving understanding. Sacred authority in Islam was never premised upon exemption from scrutiny. It was legitimized through moral transparency, cognitive integrity, and receptivity to counsel. Imām 'Alī states in Nahj al-Balāghah:

“Do not address me as one who is above being advised, nor imagine that I am free from responsibility in matters concerning the truth.”⁹

Authority is therefore a form of epistemic custodianship, not epistemic monopolization. Its credibility lies not in how consistently it is obeyed, but in how confidently it can withstand sincere inquiry. Correspondingly, followers are not called to passive loyalty but to thinking loyalty, loyalty that interrogates, refines, and elevates. The Imāms did not produce reciters of rhetoric but heirs of comprehension. Imām Ja'far al-Ṣādiq ('a) reinforces this ethic, stating that:

“Our follower is one who takes from our light and acts as we act, not one who merely repeats our words.”⁸

A spiritually confident community therefore encourages its members to ask not merely, “What is permitted?” but more fundamentally, “What is true?”

Conclusion – Towards a Theology of Reflective Light

This essay is neither a lament nor an indictment; it is an invitation to recover what once distinguished Shi'ism, the union of devotion and intellect. The Khoja community stands at a unique historical juncture: highly educated, globally networked, institutionally capable, and spiritually invested. Its challenge is not capacity but direction, whether it will deepen its epistemic inheritance or curate its ritual identity.

Renewal does not require abandoning ritual forms but recovering the meaning that animates them. The Qur'ān grounds transformation not in coercion but in illumination:

“Allāh is the Light of the heavens and the earth... Light upon light. Allāh guides to His light whom He wills...” (Sūrat al-Nūr 24:35)

This verse is not poetic ornamentation. It is an epistemic charter. Light is not given merely to see rituals, but to see meaning. A community that seeks renewal must therefore cultivate forms of spirituality and leadership that restore the balance between understanding and devotion.

True renewal begins with intellect centred spirituality. The Qur'ān grounds spiritual consciousness in reflection and rational awareness, calling believers to perceive the world through a contemplative and awakened mind:

"Indeed, in the creation of the heavens and the earth and the alternation of night and day are signs for people of intellect." (Sūrat Āl 'Imrān, 3, verse 190)

This verse frames the spiritual journey as one that begins with attentiveness to reality itself. It teaches that reflection is not an abstract exercise but a way of reading the world as a continuous unfolding of divine signs. To recognise these signs, the believer must cultivate inner clarity, a disciplined mind capable of moving from observation to understanding. In the same spirit, Imam 'Alī describes intellect as the compass of the believer, emphasising its centrality to spiritual growth:

"The intellect is the guide of the believer."¹⁰

Intellect centred spirituality treats 'aql as the internal light that interprets revelation, purifies intention, and gives prayer its inner life. Intellect adjacent spirituality performs devotion sincerely but without reflective depth, allowing ritual to become emotional rather than transformative. Shi'ī spirituality demands consciousness, comprehension, and moral awareness so that devotion becomes a journey of becoming, not merely belonging.

A reflective community also embraces ijtihād as a communal culture rather than a clerical privilege. While formal legal reasoning belongs to scholars, the broader ethic of critical inquiry belongs to all believers. The Qur'ān challenges individuals and communities:

"Bring your proof if you are truthful." (Sūrat al Baqarah, 2, verse 111)

Rather than promoting blind acceptance, this verse affirms that truth in Islam invites examination, evidence, and intellectual accountability. It places reasoning at the heart of faith, signalling that belief must be grounded in understanding rather than inheritance or sentiment. Building on this epistemic foundation, Imam al Ṣādiq reinforces the same ethic of thoughtful engagement and reflective inquiry:

"Contemplate our words, for if you do not understand them, you have not grasped our message."¹¹

When the communal mindset internalizes ijtihād, obedience becomes enlightened, and faith becomes nurtured through reflection rather than inherited unexamined.

Leadership must embody facilitation rather than insulation. Imam 'Alī warns:

"The best leader is one who listens to counsel and accepts advice."¹²

Leadership that welcomes questioning preserves integrity, while leadership that avoids accountability invites stagnation. A leader is not the center of truth but its servant. Facilitative leadership opens space for intellectual participation, recognises the dignity of diverse perspectives, and guides the community towards

maturity. Insulated leadership, by contrast, creates a culture of silence where loyalty replaces understanding and reputation replaces sincerity.

Ritual must also recover its transformative essence. Imam al Bāqir teaches:

“No act of worship is accepted without understanding.”¹³

This principle reminds us that the purpose of ritual is the transfiguration of character. When rituals validate identity without provoking introspection, they lose their ability to elevate the soul. Ritual as transformation ensures that majlis, du‘ā’ gatherings, and mourning ceremonies become catalysts for moral awakening. Ritual as validation risks turning devotion into performance, where the outer intensity of practice masks the inner absence of ethical change.

Finally, unity must emerge from sincerity rather than silence. Imam ‘Alī cautions:

“There is no good in silence about wisdom, just as there is no good in speech without knowledge.”¹⁴

Unity that suppresses necessary critique is unity only in appearance. Unity rooted in sincerity encourages honest reflection, principled disagreement, and truth seeking. Silence may preserve comfort, but only sincerity preserves conscience. A community that values sincerity over silence becomes resilient, courageous, and capable of self-renewal.

Together, these commitments sketch a path toward a more reflective, courageous, and intellectually confident Khoja Shī‘ī community. This path does not reject tradition. It revitalizes it by returning to the very principles that once animated the Shī‘ī intellectual imagination.

The future strength of the Khoja community will not be measured by the size of its gatherings, the resonance of its chants, or the expansion of its real estate, but by the clarity of its thought, the maturity of its discourse, and the moral courage of its next generation.

If ‘Āshūrā’ is memory, then we will mourn; but if ‘Āshūrā’ is method, then we must rise.

FootNotes

1. 'Allāmah al-Ḥillī, *al-Mabādi' wa-l-Nihāyāt fī 'Ilm al-Kalām* (Qum: Maktabat al-Murtaḍawīyyah, 1962).
2. Shaykh al-Mufīd's *Awā'il al-Maqālāt*.
3. 'Alī Sharī'atī, *Religion vs Religion* (Berkeley: Mizan Press, 1980).
4. Mullā Ṣadrā, *al-Ḥikmah al-Muta'āliyyah fī-l-Asfār al-'Aqliyah al-Arba'ah*.
5. Naṣīr al-Dīn al-Ṭūsī, *Tajrīd al-I'tiqād*.
6. Murtaḍā Muṭahharī, *Man and Faith*.
7. 'Alī Sharī'atī, *Shahādat*.
8. Muḥammad b. Ya'qūb al-Kulaynī, *al-Kāfī*, vol. 1.
9. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib, *Nahj al-Balāghah*, Ḥikam.
10. *Nahj al Balāghah*, Hikam, aphorisms on 'aql.
11. Al Kulaynī, *al Kāfī*, vol. 1, p. 50.
12. *Nahj al Balāghah*, Sermon 216.
13. Al Kulaynī, *al Kāfī*, vol. 1.
14. *Nahj al Balāghah*, Hikam 113.